

---

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Virtue as Governance: Moral Leadership and Social Harmony in the Confucian Analects

Jalalud Din<sup>1</sup> and Jing Liu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Automation and Electrical Engineering, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, China

<sup>2</sup> School of English Language and Literature, Sendelta International Academy, No. 6, Tanghe 2nd Road, Tangtou Avenue, Baoan District, Shenzhen, China

**Corresponding Author:** Jalalud Din, **E-mail:** [jalalpak@yahoo.com](mailto:jalalpak@yahoo.com)

---

## ABSTRACT

This article elucidates the principles of governance articulated by Confucius in the Analects, arguing for their enduring relevance as a framework for ethical leadership. Confucius posited that effective rule is not rooted in coercive power but in the moral character of the leader, who serves as a paradigmatic exemplar for the populace. Through an exegesis of key passages, this paper explores the interconnected concepts of virtue (de), ritual propriety (li), filial piety (xiao), trustworthiness (xin), education, inclusivity, and reflective praxis. It demonstrates how these principles collectively form a system aimed at cultivating social harmony and political stability through moral suasion and self-cultivation. The analysis concludes that Confucian thought offers critical insights for contemporary leadership, emphasizing that sustainable governance is fundamentally an ethical enterprise.

## KEYWORDS

Confucianism, Governance, Virtue (De), Ritual (Li), Trustworthiness (Xin), Moral Leadership, Social Harmony

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

**ACCEPTED:** 10 November 2025

**PUBLISHED:** 07 December 2025

**DOI:** 10.32996/ljahs.2025.5.5.3

---

## Introduction

The quest for effective and just governance is a perennial concern in political philosophy. In East Asia, the intellectual and ethical tradition of Confucianism, originating from the teachings of Confucius (551–479 BCE), has for centuries provided a dominant framework for understanding statecraft and social order [11, 10]. Contrary to models of governance based primarily on law and punishment, Confucius advanced a vision centered on the moral quality of the ruler. He contended that the character of the leader is the cornerstone of a prosperous and harmonious state [1, 4]. This article argues that the Confucian paradigm of governance, as preserved in the Analects, presents a coherent and compelling system where leadership through moral example and ethical commitment is the primary mechanism for achieving political stability. By analyzing core sayings, we will delineate the key principles of this system—virtue, ritual, filial piety, trust, education, inclusivity, and thoughtful action—and highlight their integrated function in fostering a well-ordered society.

## The Centrality of Virtue (De) in Governance

For Confucius, the indispensable foundation of legitimate rule is virtue (de). This concept transcends personal morality to become a transformative political force. The most famous articulation of this principle is found in the analogy of the North Star:

*子曰：为政以德，譬如北辰，居其所，而众星共之。 (Analects 2.1)*

*"He who governs by virtue is like the North Star, which remains in its place while all the other stars revolve around it." [2].*

This metaphor illustrates the power of non-coercive authority. A ruler who cultivates de becomes a moral pivot, a fixed point of ethical reference that naturally attracts the allegiance and respect of the people. Governance by virtue does not rely on edicts

but on the leader's charismatic influence, which inspires voluntary compliance and moral emulation among the populace. The ruler's personal integrity is thus not a private matter but the very engine of state order.

### Ritual Propriety (Li) as the Skeleton of Social Order

While virtue provides the inner substance of good governance, ritual propriety (li) provides its external structure. Confucius sharply distinguished between a society regulated by laws and one guided by li.

子曰：导之以政·齐之以德·民免而无耻。导之以德·齐之以礼·有耻且格。(Analects 2.3)

"If you lead the people with administrative edicts and keep them in line with punishments, they will avoid transgressions but have no sense of shame. If you lead them with virtue and keep them in line with the rites, they will have a sense of shame and will correct themselves." [2].

This passage highlights the limitations of a legalistic approach: it can secure outward compliance but fails to cultivate an internal moral compass. Rituals—encompassing everything from formal ceremonies to everyday etiquette—socialize individuals into the values of respect, propriety, and harmony [13, 5]. By internalizing li, people develop a sense of shame (chi) that motivates ethical behavior from within, making them self-regulating members of the community. Thus, li is the practical mechanism through which virtue is enacted and social harmony is realized.

### Filial Piety (Xiao): The Family as a Model for the State

The Confucian political order is architecturally linked to the familial order through the principle of filial piety (xiao). Confucius viewed the family as the primary school of moral development, where one learns deference, responsibility, and reverence.

子曰：生，事之以礼，死，葬之以礼，祭之以礼。(Analects 2.5)

"While your parents are alive, serve them in accordance with the rites; when they die, bury them in accordance with the rites; and sacrifice to them in accordance with the rites." [2].

Xiao entails more than material support; it is a lifelong attitude of respectful care [9]. This virtue is then projected onto the political sphere. The ruler is envisioned as the "parent of the people" (min zhi fumu), and his relationship with his subjects is modeled on the benevolent, hierarchical relationship between a father and his children [3, 7]. A filial subject naturally becomes a loyal citizen, and a ruler who embodies parental benevolence earns the people's devotion. In this way, the state is conceived as a family writ large, and the stability of both institutions is mutually reinforcing.

### The Bedrock of Trustworthiness (Xin)

In a system reliant on moral influence, the leader's trustworthiness is paramount. Confucius considered xin—often translated as trustworthiness, integrity, or good faith—to be an indispensable quality for both individuals and rulers.

子曰：人而无信，不知其可也。大车无輶·小车无軹·其何以行之哉？(Analects 2.22)

"I do not see how a man can be acceptable who is untrustworthy. How can a large cart or a small cart be usable without its collar-bar or carriage-bar?" [2].

The analogy to the cart's essential component is stark: without trust, the entire machinery of governance and social relations grinds to a halt. A ruler who breaks promises or acts deceitfully forfeits the people's confidence, rendering his leadership ineffectual. Trust is the social capital that enables the ruler's virtuous influence to flow and be accepted, making it the linchpin of the entire Confucian political model.

### Education and Self-Cultivation: The Path to Moral Refinement

Confucius was, above all, a teacher who believed that human beings are perfectible through learning and self-reflection. This commitment to education is central to his political vision.

子曰：温故而知新，可以为师矣。(Analects 2.11)

"One who by reviewing the old can gain knowledge of the new is fit to be a teacher." [2].

For Confucius, education was not merely the acquisition of information but the holistic cultivation of one's moral character (junzi) [12, 8]. It is a lifelong process of "reviewing the old" (studying tradition and history) to "know the new" (gaining wisdom for present application). This applies directly to governance: a leader must be a perpetual student, constantly refining his understanding and virtue. The process of governing others is inextricably linked to the process of governing oneself.

### Inclusivity and Impartiality

The ideal Confucian ruler, the junzi (gentleman), is characterized by his inclusive and public-spirited nature, in contrast to the petty person (xiao ren) who is factional and self-interested.

子曰：君子周而不比，小人比而不周。(Analects 2.14)

"The gentleman is broad-minded and impartial, not partisan and biased; the petty man is partisan and biased, not broad-minded and impartial." [2].

In governance, this translates to a mandate for leaders to work for the common good, distributing benefits and justice impartially across society. Inclusivity prevents the formation of resentful factions and ensures that all members of the polity feel valued, which is a prerequisite for lasting social harmony. The ruler's virtue must manifest as a benevolent concern for the welfare of all, not just a favored few.

### **The Synthesis of Learning and Reflection in Action**

Finally, Confucius emphasized the necessity of uniting thought with action. Effective leadership requires both the knowledge gained from study and the wisdom gained from critical reflection.

子曰：学而不思则罔，思而不学则殆。（*Analects* 2.15）

"Learning without thought is labor lost; thought without learning is perilous." [2].

Applied to statecraft, this principle warns against both unthinking implementation of policies and impulsive decision-making based on uninformed opinion. A virtuous leader must be both erudite and contemplative, carefully considering the consequences of his actions. "Thoughtful action" ensures that governance is prudent, effective, and aligned with the moral and practical needs of the people.

### **Conclusion**

The Confucian philosophy of governance, as articulated in the *Analects*, presents a profound and coherent challenge to purely instrumental models of leadership. Its enduring relevance lies in its insistence that political authority is fundamentally moral authority. The interconnected principles of virtue (de), ritual (li), filial piety (xiao), trust (xin), education, inclusivity, and reflective praxis form a robust system aimed at cultivating social harmony from the top down, beginning with the ruler's own self-cultivation.

This paradigm, which shaped the political culture of East Asia for two millennia, offers a vital corrective in the modern era. In a world often dominated by short-term expediency, bureaucratic impersonalism, and divisive politics, Confucius reminds us that sustainable governance requires leaders of demonstrable integrity and wisdom. His teachings advocate for a form of leadership that earns its mandate through ethical conduct, fosters unity through ritual and inclusivity, and builds trust through consistent integrity. The *Analects* ultimately argue that the most effective and enduring form of power is not the power to command, but the power to inspire—a lesson as urgent today as it was in ancient China.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers.

### **References**

- [1] Cheng, C. Y. (2011). Confucian global leadership in Chinese tradition: Classical and contemporary. *Journal of Management Development*, 30(7/8), 647-662.
- [2] Confucius. (2016). 论语 [The *Analects*] (X. Wei, Trans. & Annot.). China Federation of Literary and Art Circles Publishing House.
- [3] Fan, R. (2006). Confucian filial piety and long-term care for aged parents. *HEC Forum*, 18(1), 1-20.
- [4] Hackett, R. D., & Wang, G. (2012). Virtues and leadership: An integrating conceptual framework founded in Aristotelian and Confucian perspectives on virtues. *Management Decision*, 50(5), 868-899.
- [5] Huda, M., Nor Muhamad, N. H., Isyanto, P., Muhamat, R., Marni, N., Ahmad Kilani, M., & Safar, J. (2020). Building harmony in diverse society: Insights from practical wisdom. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 36(2), 149-165.
- [6] Huang, Y. (2011). Can virtue be taught and how? Confucius on the paradox of moral education. *Journal of Moral Education*, 40(2), 141-159.
- [7] Low, K. C. P., & Ang, S. L. (2012). Filial piety and good leadership. \*E-Leader Berlin 2012\*.
- [8] Ma, W. (2009). Self-realization in John Dewey and Confucius: Its philosophical and educational features [Doctoral dissertation].
- [9] Nylan, M. (1996). Confucian piety and individualism in Han China. *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 116(1), 1-27.
- [10] Sin, T. C. (2012). *Confucianism and democratization in East Asia*. Cambridge University Press.
- [11] Tu, W.-M. (1990). The Confucian tradition in Chinese history. *Heritage of China: Contemporary perspectives on Chinese civilization*, 112-137.
- [12] Wang, F. (2004). Confucian thinking in traditional moral education: Key ideas and fundamental features. *Journal of Moral Education*, 33(4), 429-447.
- [13] Wei, X. (2013). The characteristics of Confucian ethics. In *Morals and society in Asian philosophy* (pp. 145-155). Routledge.
- [14] Wei, X., & Li, Q. (2013). The Confucian value of harmony and its influence on Chinese social interaction. \*Cross-Cultural Communication, 9\*(1), 60.