Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies

ISSN: 2709-104X DOI: 10.32996/jcsts

Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/jcsts



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Translation of Arabic Expressions of Impossibility by AI and Student-Translators: A Comparative Study

Prof. Reima Al-Jarf

King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author: Prof. Reima Al-Jarf, E-mail: reima.al.jarf@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Expressions of impossibility (Els) refer to events that never or rarely happen, things that are impossible to find, tasks that are difficult or impossible to perform, or things that are of no use. They are a kind of proverbs with a metaphorical meaning that speakers of a language use in daily interaction and communication. This study aims to compare the difficulties that Artificial Intelligence (AI) and student-translators have in translating EIs, the kinds of translation errors that both make, which direction is easier English-Arabic or Arabic-English, their translation strategies and the causes of errors for both. A sample of English and Arabic Els was collected and translated by Microsoft Copilot (MC) and undergraduate students majoring in translation at the College of Language Silences, King Saud University. Data analysis showed that Arabic-English translation was easier for MC than مرة واحدة في القمر الأزرق English-Arabic translation. MC mostly gave literal word-for-word translation (once in a blue moon instead of مرة في العمر/مرة في السنة), which sometimes sounded meaningless and culturally awkward. The students translated fewer than 35% of the Els correctly, compared to by 52% correct translations by MC. They left many blank. Expressions similar in both languages were easy to translate, whereas opaque expressions were more difficult (near the knuckle, ghost of a chance, dance on a land mine). Both MC and students gave more correct Arabic-English than English-Arabic translations. The most common translation strategy used by both was word-for-word translation. Paraphrase/explanation, partial, and extraneous translation were the most frequently used strategies by students. MC did not leave any expressions blank. Translation errors by students were due to lack of mastery of English, limited exposure to English idioms and proverbs, unfamiliar words, lack of background knowledge, cultural gaps, and inadequate translation competence. Although MC can explain the underlying meaning on an EI, it cannot make conceptual alignment because MC translation models prioritize direct linguistic accuracy, i.e., word-for-word translation, over natural, culturally adapted phrasing. Examples of correct and faulty translations by Al and students, translation strategies, sources of errors by both and recommendations for improvement are given.

KEYWORDS

Expressions of impossibility, idiomatic expressions, Al translation, human translation, student translators, literal translation, word-for-word translation.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 12 July 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 02 August 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.8.33

1. Introduction

Expressions of impossibility (Els) refer to events that never or rarely happen (when hell freezes over, when pigs fly, once in a blue moon), tasks that are difficult or impossible to perform (like finding a needle in a haystack; a snowball's chance in hell), people or things that are of no use (as much use as a one-legged man at an arse-kicking contest), and things that are impossible to find (as rare as hen's teeth)¹. They are a kind of proverbs with a metaphorical meaning that speakers of a language use in daily interaction and communication. Els convey emotions and impressions through metaphor. They activate the imagination. Some are funny and convey sarcasm. They are common in general use in all languages as French (quand les poules auront des dents, "when hens have

Copyright: © 2025 the Author(s). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Published by Al-Kindi Centre for Research and Development, London, United Kingdom.

 $^{^1\} https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_idioms_of_improbability$

teeth; and quand les coqs pondront des œufs, "when roosters lay eggs"), German —(Wenn Weihnachten und Ostern auf einen Tag fallen! "when Christmas and Easter are on the same day"); Italian (quando gli asini voleranno ("when donkeys will fly"); Spanish (cuando las vacas vuelen, "when cows fly"); Portuguese (no dia de São Nunca "on Saint Never's day"); Romanian (când va zbura porcul, "when pigs fly"); Dutch (als Pinksteren en Pasen op één dag vallen, "when Pentecost and Easter are on the same day"); Polish (na święty Nigdy, "till St. Never's Day"); Malay (menunggu kucing bertanduk "to wait until a horned cat walks by"); Finnish (sitten kun lehmät lentävät, when cows fly); Hungarian (majd ha piros hó esik "when red snow falls"); Russian (когда рак на горе свистнет, "when the crawfish whistles on the mountain"); Danish (når der er to torsdage i én uge, "when there are two Thursdays in one week"); Persian (。 when the reed plant blossoms"); Korean (해가 서쪽에서 뜨겠다, "Sun might rise from the West"); Chinese (太陽 從西邊升起, when the sun rises in the West")².

In Arabic, Els exist in Standard Arabic (SA) as well as Colloquial local dialects (CA), but not in specialized, technical language. Common people use Els quite heavily in daily speech. Historically (more than 1500 years ago), Arabs believed in three impossibles (the ogre, the phoenix/gryphon, and the faithful friend). Different versions of the same exist in several local Arabic dialects. For example, in the Arab Gulf States, people would say إذا حجت البقرة على قرونها "when salt flowers". In Palestine, they say لما ينور الملح "when apricots bloom" (Al-Jarf, 2024a; Al-Jarf 2024d).

Els are characterized by use of a wide range of similes and hyperbole. They activate the imagination and convey emotions and impressions through metaphor. Some are funny and convey sarcasm. Other issues related to Els were the focus of numerous studies in the literature including logical impossibility (Levy, 1971); Els and inevitability in Mari charms (Glukhova & Glukhov, 2008); types of impossibility and their treatment, impossibility in modern private law (Aksoy, 2014); the expression of modality of possibility and impossibility of infinitive constructions (Khuzina & Khairullina, (2017); forms and functions of Els in Yoruba informal interactions (Ajayi, 2022); a grammatical and rhetorical analysis of verses of impossibility in the Holy Quran (Al-Jarf, 2024); students' difficulties in translating Arabic and English Els (Al-Jarf, 2024); and Els through conditionals as a Quranic style (Aksoy, 2020);

A second group of studies investigated Al translation of proverbs, metaphors and idioms such as Arabic and English proverb translation using artificial intelligence (Al) (Hamdi, Hashem, Holbah, Azi & Mohammed, 2023); efficiency of online machine translation of English and Arabic proverbs (Jibreel, 2023); why metaphor and Al matter to each other (Barnden, 2008); a conceptual approach to natural language processing of Arabic metaphors (Alkhatib & Shaalan, 2017); paraphrasing Arabic metaphor by neural machine translation (Alkhatib, & Shaalan, 2018); can Google Translate (GT) convey the meaning of metaphor (Zajdel, 2022); translation of idiomatic expressions from Arabic into English using Al (Almaaytah, 2022); evaluation of three machine translation systems in translating idiomatic expressions (Musaad, & Al Towity, 2023); the impact of prompt formulation in Al chatbots on the translation of idioms from Arabic-to-English and English-to-Arabic (Hakami, & Abomoati, 2024); problems of translating food idioms from English to Arabic by ChatGPT with proposed solutions (Hamoud, 2024); how ChatGPT, Gemini, and GT translate English idioms to Arabic (Obeidat, Haider, Tair & Sahari, 2024); and Arabic idiom detection by deep learning and transformer-based models (Himdi, 2024).

A third group of studies compared the performance of Al and humans in translating legal texts and terminology as challenges facing human versus Al in English to Arabic translation of legal terminology at Yemeni Universities (Al-Maktary, Mohammed, Hassan, Almoughles & Almekdad, 2025); accuracy in human vs. Al translation of legal documents to Arabic (Altakhaineh, Alghathian, & Jarrah, 2025); a comparison of Al and human translation of legal texts (Moneus & Sahari, 2024); human vs machine subtitling of English legal acronyms into Arabic: (Haider & Alkhatib, 2024); Al and human translations of Qur'anic legal verses in Surah Al Ma'idah (Abdalhussein, 2025); Arabic-English translation of Sharia terms in legislative documents by Al and human (Amr, 2025); and translation of Arabic dialect poetry by human and Al (AlAfnan & Alshakhs, 2012).

Other studies explored AI and human collaboration in unveiling Qur'anic synonymy and polysemy (Albalawi, 2025); the quality of human and AI Arabic-English translation of hidden proverbs in the Holy Qur'ān (Fakhrabadi & Sharifabad, 2023); and English-Arabic translation of Qur'anic Arabic puns by AI with human post-editing (Albalawi & Ghafour, 2024).

² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_idioms_of_improbability

² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_idioms_of_improbability

Furthermore, some researchers compared machine and human translations of Turkish literature into Arabic (El-Messiri, Amani Mohamed Sobhi, 2025); Arabic-English machine versus human translation of poetry (Alowedi & Hassan Al Ahdal, 2023); human vs. Gemini and ChatGPT translating of classical Arabic verse (Farghal & Haider, 2024); functionalism and accuracy in human vs. Al translation of Arabic podcast transcript (El-Naby & Aly, 2025); and challenges facing human-and Al-generated Arabic audiovisual translation of subtitles in Birdman (Al Sawi & Allam, 2024).

Additionally, some studies compared the accuracy and efficacy of AI and human translation of metaphors, ambiguities, cultural-loaded content, and post-editing such as a comparative study between ChatGPT and human translation of English proverbs into Arabic (EI-Saadany, 2024); AI vs. human interpretation of metaphor in Jordanian, Emirati and Classical Arabic (Zibin, Binhaidara, AI-Shahwan & Yousef, 2025); interpretation of novel literary metaphors by humans and GPT-4 (Ichien, Stamenković & Holyoak, 2024); strategies of translating euphemistic expressions from Arabic into English by AI models and human translators (AI-Wasy & Mohammed, 2024); enhancing AI-driven Arabic to English translations with human expertise in handling ambiguities (Alqahtani, 2024); striking the balance between machine translation and human expertise in translating cultural-loaded content from Arabic to English (Ennouari & Houssaini, 2024); the accuracy of Arabic-English machine and human translation (Ibrahim, 2025); whether machine translation constitutes a new reality or a threat to professional Arabic-English translators (Muftah, 2024); English-Arabic translation by human translators vs. GT and ChatGPT (Sadiq, 2025); the efficacy of human vs AI Arabic-English and English-Arabic translation processes (Yacine, 2024); strategies employed by professional translators in post-editing Arabic-English AI-generated translations of media texts (Zayed, & Nuirat, 2024).

The literature review revealed a lack of studies that compared Al and human translation of specific metaphorical expressions such as numeral-based formulaic expressions (Al-Jar, 2023b), time metaphors (Al-Jarf, 2023c), ibn (son) and bint (daughter) fixed expressions (Al-Jarf, 2023a), om- and abu-expressions (Al-Jarf, 2017), dar (house) and bayt (home) expressions (Al-Jarf, 2022a), common names of chemical compounds (Al-Jarf, 2022d), color-based metaphorical expressions (Al-Jarf, 2019), polysemes (Al-Jarf, 2022b), binomials (Al-Jarf, 2016b), neologisms (Al-Jarf, 2010), word + particle collocation (Al-Jarf, 2022lb; Al-Jarf, 2009) and others. Therefore, this study aims to identify the difficulties that Al tools and student-translators have in translating Els from English to Arabic and Arabic to English, which translation direction is more difficult (English-Arabic or Arabic English), the kinds of translation errors that student-translators and Al tools make, which translation strategies (literal word-for word, conceptual, partial, explanatory) Al and student translators use, and the causes of translation errors in both.

Els are rich with nuance, wrapped in cultural, idiomatic expression, structural complexities, and/or rhetorical devices. Findings of this study will highlight subtle fault lines between Al and human translations. The types of errors that Al and student-translators make in translating Els will benefit both translation students and instructors as they will provide them with an error typology of Al and human translation, and the strengths and weaknesses of each. The findings will inform translation training programs and help translation instructors emphasize where Al tends to falter. It will also guide Al developers to the weaknesses and failures Al has in translating specific metaphorical expressions such as Els.

Moreover, the human translation part of the current study is based on a previous study by the author in which she assessed the difficulties that student translators have in translating Els from English to Arabic and Arabic to English (Al-Jarf, 2024a). This study is also part of a series of studies that the author has conducted on the use of Al in English-Arabic and Arabic-English translation and research as DeepSeek, GT and Microsoft Copilot's (MC) translation of Arabic grammatical terms used metaphorically (Al-Jarf, 2025b); translation of zero-expressions by MC and GT (Al-Jarf, 2025d); Al translation of full-text Arabic research articles with a focus on educational polysemes (Al-Jarf, 2025a); Al translation of the Gaza-Israel war terminology by MC and GT (Al-Jarf, 2025c); translation of medical terms by MC and GT (Al-Jarf, 2024c); English-Arabic translation of technical terms by GT (Al-Jarf, 2021a; Al-Jarf, 2016a) and Arab instructors' views on students' assignments and research papers generated by Al (Al-Jarf, 2024b).

2. Methodology

2.1 The Subjects

Subjects of the current study consisted of 37 translation students in their third year of the translation program at the College of Language Sciences (CLS), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The students were majoring in Arabic, English and English-Arabic translation. They studied 37 hours of English language course (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing, Vocabulary, Grammar, Editing and Revising, Academic Writing, Morphology and Syntax), 13 hours of linguistics courses (Introduction to Linguistics, Text Linguistics, Pragmatics and Semantics, Discourse Analysis, 4 hours of Language and Culture courses; and Use of Dictionaries in in Translation); 35 hours of translation courses (Introduction to Translation, General Translation from English to Arabic, General Translation from Arabic to English, Computer-Assisted, Financial and Economic, Political and Media, Medical, Scientific and Technical, Legal, Islamic, Literary, Translation, Problems of Translation, and a Translation Project); 8 hours of interpreting courses (Simultaneous, Consecutive, and bilateral); and 8 hours of Arabic language courses (Academic Writing, Stylistics Applications and Syntax). All the students are native speakers of Arabic with English as their second language.

In the Vocabulary course, translation students study a sample of general English idioms, binomials and collocations. In the translation courses, they practice translating idioms, binomials, collocations, and metaphorical expressions which they occasionally encounter in the texts they are translating in various domains. In addition, the students never received any direct instruction in the usages and meanings of Arabic and English Els and the contexts in which they are used, whether in the translation or Arabic language courses that they take.

2.2 AI Assistant Used

Microsoft Copilot⁴ (MC) is a chatbot developed on the basis of a large language model (LLM). It is an Al-powered code completion tool that uses the Microsoft Prometheus model, built upon OpenAl's GPT-4 foundational LLM to generate code snippets based on the context of the code being written. It is Microsoft's primary replacement for the discontinued Cortana, which in turn has been fine-tuned using both supervised and reinforcement learning techniques. On February 7, 2023, Bing Chat was released, then became a built-in feature of Microsoft Bing and Microsoft Edge. It is an Al companion designed to assist users with a variety of tasks, offering support across different Microsoft products and platforms. It acts as a digital companion, providing information, entertainment, and inspiration. It translates text using machine learning algorithms. Its conversational interface style is like that of ChatGPT. It leverages advanced Al in understanding user requests and providing relevant responses, suggestions, and even creating images. It can help with writing, brainstorming, coding, searching, and more. It is now integrated into apps like WORD, Excel, PowerPoint, and Teams, allowing users to draft documents, analyze data, create presentations, and collaborate more effectively. It helps with tasks like summarization of information, generation of creative content, and automation of repetitive actions, making users more productive. It is accessible on PCs, Macs, and mobile devices. Through Bing and Edge, it allows consistent support across different devices and workflows.

2.3 Sample of Els

A sample of 95 Arabic and 60 English Els was collected from several online resources and some Arab informants, in addition to the author's own collection as a native speaker of Arabic and a translation instructor. The Arabic sample covers Els that are common in Standard as well as some Arabic dialects spoken in some Arab countries. To be included in the sample, each El should refer to something that cannot be done, attained, fulfilled, or can never happen. It should imply a paradox in a person, situation, action having seemingly contradictory qualities or something that is counterfactual, hypothetical, or contrary-to-fact scenarios. It should also be a statement that is seemingly contradictory or opposed to common sense as in If pigs could fly, we'd have bacon rain; لم البقرة تحج على قرونها (when the cow goes to pilgrimage on its horns); البقرة تحج على قرونها the rooster's egg; عمل الدم ما يصير مية the rooster's egg; عمر الدم ما يصير مية the sky falls on earth; تنطبق السما على الأرض blood never becomes water. Verses of impossibility in the Holy Quranic are not included in this study.

The Arabic sample was verified by two colleagues at the Arabic department to make sure that the sample includes EIs used as proverbs by native-Arabic speakers only and not mere phrases. In addition, the two professors verified the comparisons, categorization and translations of English and Arabic EIs. Discrepancies in the comparison, judgments, categorization and translations were solved by discussion.

2.4 Comparison of English and Arabic Els

Els in both Arabic and English were compared and classified into the following:

- 1) Els that are identical in their meaning (conceptual basis) and wording (linguistic form) in both English and Arabic as in: رببني قصورا في الهواء castles in air ببكي على اللبن المسكوب cry over spilt milk بما ينزل من زوري hard to swallow إما ينزل من زوري hard to swallow بليكي على اللبن المسكوب when salt glows; grasping at straws بنت على الارض a needle in a haystack بلارض إلام على الارض إلام على الارض إلا في beyond your wildest dreams بلام بارض إلهيام بارض إلهيام المحال إلى المحال المناكل الاحلام بعيد عن اسنانك يتضارب/يتشاجر مع خياله fighting your shadow إنجوم السما اقرب لك الاحلام بعيد عن اسنانك –
- 2) Els that are the same in their conceptual basis, but are different in their linguistic form.as In: pie in the sky أَضْعَاثُ أَحْلام; when pigs fly لما تطير / في المشمش; on cloud nine أضي سابع سما طاير من on cloud nine; on cloud nine إلفرح من رابع twelfth of never عشم ابليس في الجنة الجنة not to have a chance in hell; الفرح من رابع twelfth of never عشم ابليس ألل المستحيلات / المستحيلات .
- 3) Els that exist in English only but have no equivalent in Arabic as in the following examples: When hell freezes over; clear as mud; cold hell; cold day in hell; dance on a land mine; eat one's cake and have it too; lightning in a bottle; on a cold day in July.

⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Microsoft_Copilot

³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Google_Translate

- to show someone the stars in Arabic only but have no equivalents in as in the following يريه النجرم في عز الظير الخيرم أي النظير على ألونها when the donkey goes up (climbs) the minaret; المعار يظلع على المئذنة when the cow goes to pilgrimage on its horns; المعار يظلع على الحاجب bird's milk; العصفور an eye cannot be higher than the eyebrow; يبلط البحر an eye cannot be higher than the eyebrow; يبلط البحر beven if you go back in your mother's womb, i.e., even if you become a foetus again الويلير; fhe flies; beyond the sun, father than the sun; sade على الجادب blow in a pierced waterskin; المعنو المواجئة when the crow greys; حلم المبلغ الويل المعنو المواجئة المعنو المعنو المواجئة المعنو المعنوف الم
- 5) Culture-specific EIs as العمار على المئذنة إلى المأذنة المرة في الغربال يا مأمنة المرة في الغربال when the donkey goes up the minaret; عنزة blike Satan dreaming of heaven. عنزة when the crow greys لما يشيب الغراب when the donkey goes up the minaret المستحيلات الثالثة الغرل العرب it is a goat even if it flies قوية مقطوعة blow in a pierced waterskin; ولو طارت we said it is a he goat, they said milk it; الغول والعنقاء والخل الوف the three impossibilities: the ogre, the phoenix and the faithful friend; الما تحج when the cow goes for pilgrimage on its horns; Flying pig; Pie in the sky; Wild goose chase; Dance on a land mine; Eat one's cake and have it too; Ghost of a chance; When pigs fly; Dodged a bullet; Curve ball (from baseball terminology).

6) Multiple Arabic Els with the Same Meaning

- وهل يصلح العطار ما أفسد الدهر a dog's tail cannot be خيل الكلب ما يتعدل a dog's tail cannot be الدهر العصل الماشطة في الوجه العكر how can a beautician beautify an ugly face; اليه يعمل الترقيع في الثوب a can patching fix a worn-out garment;.
- ابرة طاحت في بحر like a needling in a sea; ابرة في كومة قش a needle in a haystack ; ابرة طاحت في بحر like searching for a needle in the land of wandering: they all denote futile efforts and the impossibility of achieving something.
- نقول ثور/تیس یقولوا احلبوه we say it is a bull/he goat, they said milk it; عنزة ولو طارت it is a goat even if it flies: showing a paradox.
- يا طَالِب الدِّبِس من ذنب النَّمس يحرَم عَلِيك ذُوق العسل If you seek molasses from a mongoose' tail, you will never taste honey; يا مستنى السمنة من دهن النملة عمرك if you seek butter from a dog's coccyx; يا مستنى السمنة من دهن النملة عمرك if you expect to get ghee from an ant, you will never fry.
- until the camel sees the bend in its neck ; لما تشوف حلمة ودنك when you see your لما يشوف الجمل عوجة رقبته او سنامه ear's lobe.

7) Lexical Structure of Arabic Els

- Animals: Bird: إذيل الكلب ما يتعدل :Dog: لما تحج البقر على قرونها :Cow: نقول ثور يقولوا احلبوه ;Hiri العصفور ;Dog: لما تحج البقر على قرونها ;Cow: البغل في الإبريق ; Cow: إعلى ما في خيلك اركبه ;As a mule in a teapot; Camel: حتى يلج :as a mule in a teapot; Camel للبغل في الإبريق :Mule للبريق ; Crow: لما يشيب الغراب ;Donkey لما يشيب الغراب ;Crow: لما يطلع الحمار على المئذنة :Donkey لما يشيب الغراب ; Mongoose للما يتوم عليك ذُوقة العسل ;Mongoose للعم تيس قالوا احلبوه ,عنزة ولو طارت the rooster's egg.
- Food & drink: عمر الرايب ما يبقى حليب yoghurt never becomes milk again; عمر الرايب ما يبقى حليب bird's milk; غين غيمت عجين في bird's milk; لبن العصفور if the sky is going to rain dumplings, it would have had dough clouds; كانت غيمت عجين bird's milk; في f you pound water, you will get water.
- . لما تشوف حلمة ودنك لما تحج البقر على قرونها ;العين ما تعلى على الحاجب ; العين ما تقاوم مخرز :Body parts
- Pilgrimage: يرزقنا الحج والناس راجعة May we go to pilgrimage when people come back from it, i.e., after it is over.
- Sun, sky, stars: عين الشمس ما تتغطى بغربال cannot cover the sun with a sieve;عين الشمس ما تتغطى بغربال the stars are much obtainable; الويروح في سابع سما ;في سابع سما if he goes to the seventh sky/heaven; رأى نجوم في seeing the stars in daylight.
- Call for prayer: يؤذن في خرابة as calling for prayer in Malta; يؤذن في خرابة as calling for prayer in a ruined place.
- Satan: عشم ابليس في الجنة; As Satan's aspiration to be in heaven.
- Hell: None.

8) Meanings Implied in Arabic Els

• **Challenge**: لو يروح في سابع سما if he goes to the seventh sky; لو يطير if he flies; فالج لا تعالج paralysis cannot be treated; فالج لا تعالج too far from your teeth; لو يرجع في بطن امه too far from your teeth; يبلط too far from your teeth; بالبحر شجرة زاعلى ما في خيلك اركبه ; البحر

- Futile efforts: يغرف من blowing in ashes; يبحث عن ابرة في كومة قش looking for a needle in a haystack; يغرف من إلاماد scooping the sea water with a cup; الجمار حمار ولو بين الخيول blowing in a pierced; ينفخ في قربة مقطوعة beat cold iron.
- **Stubbornness**: قلنا لهم تيس/ثور قالوا احلبوه we told them it is a bull, but they said milk it; عنزة ولو طارت it is a goat even if it flies.
- Incongruity: البيضة ما تكسر حجر an egg does not break a stone; البيضة ما تكسر حجر we told them it is a bull, but they say milk it;; العين ما تقاوم مخرز the eye cannot be higher than the eyebrow; العين ما تقاوم مخرز the eye cannot be higher than the eyebrow; العين ما تقاوم مخرز defy the awl
- Things that are impossible to fix or cannot be done: دق المي وهي مي ;فالج لا تعالج even if you pound the water, it will remain water; عخرف من البحر بالفنجان scooping the sea with a cup; حتى يلج الجمل في سم الخياط when the shop rope goes through the needle hole.
- Funny: يا طالب الدبس من ذنب النمس النَّمس يحرَم عَلِيك ذُوق العسل like seeking molasses from a mongoose' tail; يا طالب الدبس من ذنب النمس التَّمس يحرَم عَلِيك ذُوق العسل a woman with hair will not gain more than what a bald woman has gained; إيش تعمل how can a beautician beautify an ugly face ; الماشطة في الوجه العكر what can I expect from a quince when I choke with every bite

2.5 The EI Translation Test for Student Translators

The students took an EI test that consisted of 24 English and 20 Arabic EIs that were randomly selected from the EI sample, and which covered EIs in all categories. The items were presented in isolation. The test instructions specified what the test items were. The students were asked to translate each Arabic EI to English and each English EI to Arabic. The students were not allowed to use any kind of dictionary, whether paper, mobile apps or online. The students were given open time to respond to the test. The test items are presented below.

The Arabic Subtest

ابعد من عين الشمس، حتى يلج الجمل في سم الخياط، الشمعة لا تغلي إبريق الشاي، عمره الدم ما يصير ميه، في المشمش، لما تشوف حلمة ودنك، نجوم السما اقرب لك، يبكي على اللبن المسكوب، يريه النجوم في عز الظهر، ابرة طاحت في بحر، إنك لا تجنى من الشوك العنب، حلم الليس في الجنة، عمر الرايب ما يبقى حليب، عين الشمس ما تتغطى بغربال، كمن يغرف من البحر بالفنجان، لبن العصفور، لما يشيب الغراب، يبحث عن ابرة في كومة قش، يد وحدة ما تصفق، ينفخ في قربة مقطوعة.

The English Subtest

teach a goldfish to play the clarinet, the first of never, when pigs fly, wild goose chase, never in a million years, as difficult as nailing jelly to a tree, dance on a land mine, like squeezing water from a stone, grasping at straws, lick your own elbow, pie in the sky, not to have a chance in hell, on cloud nine, to catch lightning in a bottle, when salt blossoms, once in a blue moon, a snowball's chance in hell, as rare as hen's teeth, eat one's cake and have it too, ghost of a chance, if the sky falls, we shall catch larks, near the knuckle, a vicious cycle.

2.6 The Copilot EI Translation Sample

The same 44 El test items given to the students to translate were also translated by MC in order to facilitate the comparison between Al and human translations. MC was given the Els in isolation. No context was provided. After the translation of Els, MC was asked to refine some of the translations based on meaning rather than direct wording. The author also asked MC to give reasons for its correct and faulty translations and which direction is easier and why.

2.7 Analysis of the Student and AI Responses to the EI Items

The students' and MCs translations of the same Els were marked by the author. To be considered correct, each Arabic and English El had to be translated by a correct equivalent, a literal translation or explanation. Mistranslations were compiled and subjected to further analysis. Translation strategies were classified into: (i) leaving the answer blank (avoidance); (ii) literal, word for word translation; (iii) paraphrase (explanation); (iv) partial translation, and (v) providing extraneous equivalents.

Results of the students' and MC translations are reported quantitatively and qualitatively.

2.8 Reliability

Reliability of the students' El test scores was calculated using the Kuder-Richardson 21' formula which estimates the internal-consistency of the responses to the test items from a single administration of the test. The reliability coefficient of the test scores was .71. Inter-rater reliability was calculated by having a colleague who taught translation mark a sample of students' answers and MC translations and by comparing both analyses. There was a 96% agreement between the two scorers in identifying meanings and expressions available in both English and Arabic and those that are available in one language only and classifying the faulty responses into translation strategies. Disagreements were solved by discussion.

3. Results

3.1 Students' responses to the test items

Data analysis showed that student translators in the current study left many Els blank (without providing any kind of translation). The typical student left 55% of the English test items and 40% of the Arabic test items blank, with a range of 12% to 90% blank items on the English subtest and 0 to 95% blank items on the Arabic subtest. Examples of Els that the students failed to translate correctly or left blank (without any response) are: من الشوك العنب من الموقعة, as difficult as nailing jelly to a tree, dance on a land mine, ghost of a chance, grasping at straws, near the knuckle, wild goose chase.

Student-translators in the current study could translate fewer than 35% of the test items correctly. Els similar in both languages were easy to translate, whereas opaque Els were more difficult to translate. Some translations were correct in meaning but incorrect in form. Example of ElS that are difficult to translate are as difficult as nailing jelly to a tree; a snowball's chance in hell; near the knuckle; ghost of a chance; dance on a land mine; as rare as hen's teeth; eat one's cake and have it too; on cloud nine; once in a blue moon; pie in the sky; في الشمس ما تتغطى بغربال you cannot reap grapes from thorns; الله عن الشمس ما تتغطى بغربال a sieve; ينفخ في قربة ;حلم ابليس في الجنة ;لو يرجع في بطن امه إلله الهرب العصفور ;نجوم السما اقرب لك ;في المشمش ;لما يشيب الغراب ;يبحث عن ابرة في كومة قش;مقطوعة ;يبكي على اللبن المسكوب .

Word for word translation was the most common strategy as in the following examples: عين الشمس ما تتغطى بغربال you cannot cover the eye of the sun; عين الشمس على اللبن المسكوب blood cannot become water; ابعد يتكي على اللبن المسكوب far from the eye of the sun. Secondly, paraphrase/explanation as in: من عين الشمس far from the eye of the sun. Secondly, paraphrase/explanation as in: عمره الدم ما يصير ميه : family relationship is stronger than friendship, your brother is closer to you than your friends; عمره الدم ما يصير ميه !do good things to be rewarded with good things, if you do bad things you will not get good results. Thirdly, partial translation as in on cloud nine فوق الغيوم you will not get good results. Thirdly, partial translation as in on cloud nine الفيد العنب إلى المسكة المسكة العنب إلى المسكة العنب إلى المسكة العنب إلى المسكة الم

3.2 MC Translation of Els

عمر الدم ما Blood never turns into water (family ties remain strong and cannot be erased); يصير ميه The stars in the sky are نجوم السما اقرب لك Blood never turns into water (family ties remain strong and cannot be erased); يبحث عن ابرة في كومة قش Searching for a needle in a haystack (an extremely difficult or impossible search); يبكي على اللبن المسكوب (Crying over spilled milk (regretting what is lost when it's too late); يريه النجوم Showing someone the stars in broad daylight (causing extreme hardship or suffering); في عز الظهر (never going to happen).

زلعق كوعك بنفسك (شيء مستحيل فعله) ilck your own elbow; الماين ما يبقى حليب عمر الرايب ما يبقى حليب مستحيل فعله) once in a blue moon; القمر الأزرق (شيء نادر جدًا) like squeezing water from a stone; مرة في القمر الأزرق (شيء نادر جدًا) to catch lightning in a bottle; شبح فرصة (فرصة ضئيلة جدًا) to catch lightning in a bottle; المساك البرق في زجاجة. (تحقيق شيء شبه مستحيل)

inappropriate); not to have a chance in hell > فرصة في العدم (a chance in nothingness – meaning impossible); a snowball's chance in hell > حتى يلج الجمل في سم الخياط (until the camel enters the eye of the needle, i.e., impossible); as difficult as nailing jelly to a tree الماء باليد (like holding water in your hand (impossible to grasp).

MC mostly gave literal word-for-word translation as in the following examples:(نجوم السما اقرب لك The stars in the sky are closer to you; once in a blue moon مرة واحدة في القمر الأزرق instead of مرة في العمر/مرة في السما on cloud nine على السحابة التاسعة which sometimes sounded meaningless and culturally awkward.

Like student translators, expressions similar in both languages were easy for MC to translate, whereas opaque expressions were more difficult for MC. Word for word translation was the most common strategy for MC as well. Unlike students, paraphrase, explanation, partial, and extraneous translation strategies were not used by MC. MC did not leave any Els blank either. Examples of easy Els for both Student-translators and MC are: حلم ابليس ;لبن العصفور ;كمن يغرف من البحر بالفنجان ;يبكي على اللبن المسكوب; never in a million years; on cloud nine. Examples of Els that are difficult for both student-translators and MC are الشمعة لا تغلي إبريق الشاي ;في الجنة نمي قربة مقطوعة ;لما تشوف حلمة ودنك ;حتى يلج الجمل في سم الخياط إنك لا تجنى من الشوك العنب as difficult as nailing jelly to a tree; dance on a land mine; ghost of a chance; near the knuckle; wild goose chase.

3.2 Sources of translations errors by student-translators and AI

Students' faulty responses to the Arabic and English Els test showed lack of background knowledge, lack of proficiency and inadequate linguistic and translation competence in both English and Arabic especially English. Items that were left blank, extraneous equivalents, literal translations, paraphrase and explanatory equivalents reflect comprehension problems and lack of sufficient vocabulary knowledge. In other words, the students looked at the surface structure and ignored the underlying meaning of an El. They looked at each El as consisting of isolated words, not as a whole unit with a fixed metaphorical or underlying meaning. Many student-translators in the sample seemed to have semantic problems with the English Els as they could not access the meaning of some lexical items and hence the overall meaning of an El as in the following examples: near the knuckle, a snowball's chance in hell, as difficult as nailing jelly to a tree, dance on a land mine, as rare as hen's teeth,. Although the lexical items in Els such as (on cloud nine, pie in the sky, eat one's cake and have it too, ghost of a chance) are familiar, the students could not access the underlying meaning of the whole El due to inadequate cultural competence. They had lexical problems with Arabic Els as in the lexical problems with Arabic Els as in the lexical items in Els in the sudents some Arabic lexemes in some of the Arabic Els. The error data showed no interlingual errors resulting from transfer from L1 to L2 or vice versa. In most cases the weaknesses are not due to pragmatic, nor cultural factors.

Although MC can explain the underlying meaning of an El, it cannot make conceptual alignment between English and Arabic because MC translation models prioritize direct linguistic accuracy, i.e., word-for-word translation, over natural, culturally adapted phrasing. MC has difficulty finding cultural equivalents or adapting a phrase using Arabic expressions with similar meaning. As MC reported, the Arabic-to-English translations felt stronger because more direct equivalents exist and Arabic idioms are more universally adaptable. MC added that Arabic has more vivid, proverbial expressions for impossibility (علم المعلوب العراب) > When the crow turns white), making translation into English smoother. Arabic idioms often use universal imagery in Eng (stars, needles, milk) that translates more naturally. إبرة في كومة قش > needle in a haystack is identical in both languages). MC added that English idioms are more fixed, so when translating them into Arabic, MC has to invent approximations (pie in the sky) that don't exist in Arabic, forcing creative replacements.

When the author asked MC about its faulty and correct translations of Els, it said that English idioms often rely on Western cultural references (cloud nine & pie in the sky) that do not exist in Arabic, forcing creative replacements. Many Arabic idioms about impossibility already have well-known English counterparts English idioms (إبرة في كومة قش > needle in a haystack), however, often do not have perfect one-to-one Arabic matches, so MC had to follow a linear word-for-word translation (a snowball's chance in hell > ختى يلج الجمل في سم الخياط), or give a mismatch (خرصة كرة الثلج في الجحيم > When pigs fly) which are not common Arabic phrases.

4. Discussion

Findings of this study showed that the students gave correct translations to fewer than 35% of the Els on the test, whereas MC translated 52% of the Els correctly. Students left many items on the test blank, but MC did not leave anything blank. Both MC and students gave more correct Arabic-English than English-Arabic translations of Els. The most common translation that both used was word-for-word translation. Paraphrase/explanation, partial, and extraneous translation were the frequently used strategies by students. MC mostly gave literal word-for-word translation (once in a blue moon مرة في العمر/مرة في السنة), which sometimes sounded meaningless and culturally awkward.

These findings are partially consistent with findings or prior studies in the literature that compared AI and human translation of metaphors, proverbs, idioms, puns, ambiguity, culturally loaded Arabic-English content and so on. Unlike findings of the current study, Zibin, Binhaidara, Al-Shahwan & Yousef (2025) found that human translators excelled in recognizing figurative language, assessing cultural specificity, and adapting translation strategies to audience background. In translating culturally embedded English proverbs, human translators effectively localized meaning, preserved metaphoric function, and even adapted structural forms to fit Arabic proverb conventions. As in the current study, AI (ChatGPT) often rendered proverbs literally, resulting in unclear or misleading equivalents. For example, "Don't cry over spilled milk" was translated into a phrase lacking both idiomatic force and pragmatic clarity (El-Saadany, 2024). Additionally, human translators applied adaptive techniques, including modulation, explicitation, and footnotes to preserve meaning while ensuring cross-cultural accessibility, whereas AI tools frequently failed to account for culturally loaded Arabic-English content such as regional idioms, religious references, and socio-cultural markers, leading to semantic dilution or misrepresentation (Ennouari & Houssaini, 2024). Moreover, human translators were more capable of preserving tone, speaker intent, and audience orientation, especially when translating informal speech, humor, or idioms. By contrast, Al-generated translations were prone to semantic distortions, and missed nuanced pragmatic cues embedded in spontaneous oral language (El-Naby & Aly, 2025). Human translations showed greater contextual relevance, metaphorical depth, and cultural sensitivity whereas GT, and ChatGPT outputs were faster than human translation, they suffered from semantic ambiguity, syntactic misalignment, and occasional misinformation (Sadiq, 2025).

As in the current study, Al produced literal, nonsensical, or unmarked translations. Dialectal variation (Jordanian, Emirati, and Classical Arabic) further compounded errors, with Emirati idioms being particularly challenging (Zibin, Binhaidara, Al-Shahwan & Yousef, 2025).

Compared to other prior studies by the author, the difficulties that student translators have and the strategies that they use in translating Els are similar to those detected in translating other types of idiomatic and fixed expression as ibn (son) and bint (daughter) metaphorical expression (Al-Jarf, 2023a), English and Arabic numeral-based formulaic expressions (Al-Jarf, (2023b), English and Arabic time metaphors (Al-Jarf, 2023c), Arabic and English dar (house) and bayt (home) expressions (Al-Jarf, 2022a), English and Arabic binomials (Al-Jarf, 2016b), Arabic common names of chemical compounds (Al-Jarf, 2022d), English and Arabic polysemes (Al-Jarf, 2022b), and English word + preposition and word + particle collocations (Al-Jarf, 2022lb; Al-Jarf, 2009).

Compared to other prior studies on Al translation of a variety of terms and metaphorical expressions by the author, MC gave correct translations to 68.6% of medical terms in the study sample, 43% of the grammatical terms used metaphorically, 29% of the Gaza-Israel terms, and 29% of the zero idiomatic expressions (Al-Jarf, 2024c; Al-Jarf, 2025c; Al-Jarf, 2025b; & Al-Jarf, 2025d). GT was inconsistent in translating English technical terms with varying prefixes, roots combined with the same suffix, compounds and blends (Al-Jarf, 2021a; Al-Jarf, 2016a). In translating Arabic full-text educational articles to English, GT had difficulty giving correct equivalents to educational polysemous words that have general and specialized meanings and two or more English equivalents (Al-Jarf, (2025a). All Al tools (MC, GT, & Deepseek) that the author used in translating terminology and other types of metaphorical expressions tended to give literal, word for word translations, not conceptual equivalents to Arabic terms and metaphorical expressions used in a particular domain.

5. Recommendations and Conclusion

To improve students' ability to translate metaphorical expressions from English to Arabic and Arabic to English, this study recommends adding English Els to the English vocabulary and Problems of Translation courses that the students take in the translation program. It also recommends raising students' awareness of the weaknesses that Al has in translating metaphorical expressions from Arabic to English and vice versa. Translation students should use MC with caution and should first check the meaning of metaphorical expressions in an English and/or Arabic dictionary in order to conceptualize their meaning before translating them. They should double check and post-edit Al translation especially in the case of metaphorical expressions. Sadiq, 2025) proposed integrating error analysis exercises into translation instruction and treating Al as a translation assistant, not a standalone solution.

Moreover, prior studies emphasized the importance of human input, collaboration, revision and post-editing of Al translation output. For example, Muftah (2024) emphasized that professional translators play an essential role in post-editing Al translation outputs, especially for texts requiring ethical, cultural, and functional interpretation. Since Al cannot perform reliably, cultural mediation and idiomatic substitution, human supervision is needed (El-Saadany, 2024). Albalawi & Ghafour (2024) added that post-editing is essential for preserving reverence and nuance in sacred texts because Al is not inherently equipped to parse Qur'anic puns that rely on theological and linguistic intertextuality. Al could generate literal translations with surface-level meaning, but it frequently misses layered rhetorical functions and cultural resonance, in which case human editors are needed to restore interpretive depth, especially in verses that employed double entendre or sound-based irony. Furthermore, Hamoud (2024)

indicated that a tiered post-editing approach to ChatGPT translation of food idioms which involves lexical adaptation, cultural localization, and idiomatic substitution must be followed because ChatGPT lacks the contextual and figurative sensitivity needed for idiom translation, even though it could assist with draft generation. El-Naby & Aly (2025) argued that functional and communicative equivalence requires human insight after Al tools are used for initial transcription.

Since Al translations undermine meaning, especially when dealing with idiomatic expressions or dialectal variations, human-Al collaboration, guided by refined evaluation rubrics, can dramatically improve quality in high-stakes or culturally nuanced translation tasks (Alqahtani, 2024). Likewise, Ennouari & Houssaini, 2024) emphasized the need for a collaborative model, in which human translators guide Al systems toward greater cultural sensitivity and communicative fidelity. Shahwan & Yousef (2025) advocated human-Al collaboration to improve cognitive and communicative metaphor processing and the inclusion of dialectal training data, and annotated metaphors.

To improve MC translation of metaphorical expressions, this study recommends building a good bilingual dictionary, and an algorithm to automatically extract English/Arabic bilingual dictionaries from parallel texts existing in Internet archives must be used. Internet archives contain a lot of parallel documents. A good MT system requires a good English-Arabic parallel corpus. To construct a good parallel corpus from Internet archives, we must have good English-Arabic and Arabic-English bilingual dictionaries. A team of specialists, computational linguists, & lexicographers should collaborate to revise, add and supervise formulaic expressions and terms included in English-Arabic Dictionaries.

Finally, comparisons of AI and humans' translation of other types of metaphorical expressions such as numeral-based formulaic expressions, time metaphors, ibn (son) and bint (daughter) fixed expressions, om- and abu-expressions, dar (house) and bayt (home) expressions, color-based metaphorical expressions common names of chemical compounds, word + particle collocation and binomials are urgently needed and are still open for further investigation by researchers in the future.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6255-1305

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, editors and reviewers.

References

- [1] Al-Jarf, R. (2025a). Al translation of full-text Arabic research articles: The case of educational polysemes. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, 7(1), 311-325. Google Scholar
- [2] Al-Jarf, R. (2025b). Al translation of the Gaza-Israel war terminology. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation, 8*(2), 139-152. https://doi.org/10.32996/ijllt.2025.8.2.17. Google Scholar
- [3] Al-Jarf, R. (2025c). DeepSeek, Google Translate and Copilot's translation of Arabic grammatical terms used metaphorically. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, 7(3), 46-57. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [4] Al-Jarf, R. (2025d). Translation of zero-expressions by Microsoft Copilot and Google Translate. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies (JCSTS)*, 7(2), 203-216. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.2.20. Google Scholar
- [5] Al-Jarf, R. (2024a). Expressions of impossibility in Arabic and English: unveiling students' translation difficulties. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation, 7*(5), 68–76. https://doi.org/10.32996/ijllt.2024.7.5.9. Google Scholar
- [6] Al-Jarf, R. (2024b). Students' assignments and research papers generated by Al: Arab instructors' views. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, 6(2), 92-98. https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2024.6.2.11. ERIC ED652203. Google Scholar
- [7] Al-Jarf, R. (2024c). Translation of medical terms by Al: A comparative linguistic study of Microsoft Copilot and Google Translate. I2COMSAPP'2024 Conference, 23-25 April 2024, Nouakchott, Mauritania. Google Scholar
- [8] Al-Jarf, R. (2024d). Verses of Impossibility in the Holy Quran: A Grammatical and Rhetorical Analysis. *International Journal of Cultural and Religious Studies*, 4(1), 26-44. https://doi.org/10.32996/ijcrs.2024.4.1.4. Google Scholar
- [9] Al-Jarf, R. (2023a). Equivalence problems in translating ibn (son) and bint (daughter) fixed expressions to Arabic and English. *International Journal of Translation and Interpretation Studies*, 3(2), 1-15. DOI: 10.32996/ijtis.2023.3.2.1. ERIC ED628181 Google Scholar
- [10] Al-Jarf, R. (2023b). Numeral-based English and Arabic Formulaic Expressions: Cultural, Linguistic and Translation Issues. British Journal of Applied Linguistics, 3(1), 25-34. https://doi.org/10.32996/bjal.2023.3.1.2. ERIC ED628151. Google Scholar
- [11] Al-Jarf, R. (2023c). Time metaphors in English and Arabic: Translation challenges. International Journal of Translation and Interpretation Studies (IJTIS), 3, 4, 68-81 https://doi.org/10.32996/ijtis.2023.3.4.8. Google Scholar
- [12] Al-Jarf, R. (2022a). Arabic and English dar (house) and bayt (home) expressions: Linguistic, translation and cultural issues. *Journal of Pragmatics and Discourse Analysis (JPDA)*, 1(1), 1-13. ERIC ED624367 Google Scholar
- [13] Al-Jarf, R. (2022b). Challenges that undergraduate student translators' face in translating polysemes from English to Arabic and Arabic to English. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation (IJLLT), 5*(7), 84-97. DOI: 10.32996/ijllt.2022.5.7.10. ERIC ED620804. Google Scholar

- [14] Al-Jarf, R. (2022d). Issues in translating English and Arabic common names of chemical compounds by student-translators in Saudi Arabia. In Kate Isaeva (Ed.). Special Knowledge Mediation: Ontological & Metaphorical Modelling. Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-95104-7. Google Scholar
- [15] Al-Jarf, R. (2021a). An Investigation of Google's English-Arabic translation of technical terms. Eurasian Arabic Studies, 14, 16-37. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [16] Al-Jarf, R. (2022lb). Undergraduate student-translators' difficulties in translating English word + preposition collocations to Arabic. *International Journal of Linquistics Studies (IJLS)*, 2(2), 60-75. DOI: 10.32996/iils.2022.2.2.9. ERIC ED621368. Google Scholar
- [17] Al-Jarf, R. (2019). Translation students' difficulties with English and Arabic color-based metaphorical expressions. *Fachsprache, 41* (Sp. Issue), 101-118. Doi: 10.24989/fs.v41iS1.1774. ERIC ED622935. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [18] Al-Jarf, R. (2017). Issues in translating Arabic om- and abu-expressions. *ALATOO Academic Studies*, *3*, 278-282. ERIC ED613247. Google Scholar
- [19] Al-Jarf, R. (2016a). Issues in translating English technical terms to Arabic by Google Translate. 3rd International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies for Education and Training (TICET 2016), pp. 17-31. Khartoum, Sudan, March 12-14. Google Scholar
- [20] Al-Jarf (2016b). *Translation of English and Arabic binomials by advanced and novice student translators*. In Larisa Ilynska and Marina Platonova (Eds) Meaning in Translation: Illusion of Precision (Pp. 281-298). Cambridge Scholars Publishing. ERIC ED639264. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [21] Al-Jarf, R. (2010). Translation students' difficulties with English neologisms. *Analele Universității "Dunărea De Jos" Din Galați Fascicula XXIV ANUL III*(2), 431-437. **ERIC** ED613253. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [22] Al-Jarf, R. (2009). Word+particle *collocation* errors in English-Arabic translation. 40 Years of Particle Research. Bern, Switzerland. February 11.-13. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [23] Abdalhussein, H. (2025). Comparative analysis of Al and human translations of Qur'anic legal verses in Surah Al-Ma'idah. *Ijaz Arabi Journal of Arabic Learning*, 8(1).
- [24] Ajayi, T. (2022). Forms and functions of "impossibility" expressions in Yoruba informal interactions. *Studies in African Languages and Cultures*, 56, 101-126.
- [25] Aksoy, H. (2014). Types of impossibility and their treatment. In Impossibility in Modern Private Law. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01704-4_7
- [26] Aksoy, S. (2020). The expression of impossibility through conditionals as a Quranic style. *Journal of Divinity Faculty of Hitit University,* 19(1), 279-305. www.doi.org/10.14395/hititilahiyat.669141
- [27] Al Sawi, I. & Allam, R. (2024). Exploring challenges in audiovisual translation: A comparative analysis of human-and Al-generated Arabic subtitles in Birdman. *Plos one*, 19(10), e0311020.
- [28] AlAfnan, M. & Alshakhs, T. (2012). Bridging Linguistic and Cultural Nuances: A Comparative Study of Human and Al Translations of Arabic Dialect Poetry. Advances in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. 2025; 5 (1): 186. Computational Linguistics.
- [29] Albalawi, A. & Ghafour, A. (2024). Unravelling Qur'anic Arabic puns through Al English translation and the art of human post-editing. *Ijaz Arabi Journal of Arabic Learning*, 7(2).
- [30] Albalawi, A. F. (2025). Bringing meanings: Al and human collaboration in unveiling Qur'anic synonymy and polysemy. *Ijaz Arabi Journal of Arabic Learning*, 8(1).
- [31] Alkhatib, M. & Shaalan, K. (2017). Natural language processing for Arabic metaphors: A conceptual approach. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems and Informatics*, (pp. 170-181). Springer International Publishing.
- [32] Alkhatib, M., & Shaalan, K. (2018). Paraphrasing Arabic metaphor with neural machine translation. *Procedia Computer Science*, 142, 308-314.
- [33] Almaaytah, S. (2022).Translation of idiomatic expressions from Arabic into English using AI (Artificial Intelligence). *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 8839-8846.
- [34] Al-Maktary, M., Mohammed, Z., Hassan, A., Almoughles, A., & Almekdad, A. (2025). Human Versus Artificial Intelligence (Al): Challenges in the Legal Translation of English to Arabic Terminology at Yemeni Universities, Taiz. Saeed University Journal of Humanities, 8(1), 177-212.
- [35] Alowedi, N. & Al Ahdal, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence based Arabic-to-English machine versus human translation of poetry: An analytical study of outcomes. *Journal of Namibian Studies*, 34.
- [36] Alqahtani, D. (2024). Transcending ambiguities: Enhancing Al-driven Arabic to English translations with human expertise. Journal of Languages and Translation, 11(3), 59-81.
- [37] Altakhaineh, A., Alghathian, G. & Jarrah, M. (2025). A comparative study of accuracy in human vs. Al translation of legal documents into Arabic. *International Journal of Language & Law (JLL)*, 14, 63-80.
- [38] Al-Wasy, B. & Mohammed, O. (2024). Strategies of translating euphemistic expressions from Arabic into English: A comparative study of artificial intelligence models with human translation. *Journal of Educational Sciences and Humanities*, 40, 826-855.
- [39] Amr, F. M. (2025). A study of the English translation of Arabic Sharia terms in legislative documents: Human versus Artificial. *Bulletin of The Faculty of Languages & Translation*, 28(2), 31-58.
- [40] Barnden, J. (2008). Metaphor and artificial intelligence: Why they matter to each other. *The Cambridge handbook of metaphor and thought*, 311-338.
- [41] El-Messiri, A..(2025) Artificial Intelligence and the translation of Turkish literature into Arabic: Comparative models between machine and human translation (an analytical applied study). *Journal of Humanities Sector, 35*(1), 2205-2272. DOI: 10.21608/jsh.2025.432929
- [42] El-Naby, H., & Aly, E. (2025). Functionalism and accuracy in human translation vs. Al translation of Arabic podcast transcript: A contrastive study. *Transcultural Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 6(2), 145-164.
- [43] El-Saadany, M. (2024). A comparative study between Chat GPT and human translation in translating English proverbs into Arabic. *Journal of Scientific Research in Arts*, 25(5), 24-54.

- [44] Ennouari, A., & Houssaini, K. (2024). Translating cultural-loaded content from Arabic to English: Striking the balance between machine translation and human expertise. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(6), 1-28.
- [45] Fakhrabadi, F. & Sharifabad, E. (2023). Assessing the quality of hidden proverbs translation in the Holy Qur'ān: Human vs. Artificial Intelligence English Translations. International Journal of Textual and Translation Analysis in Islamic Studies, 1(4), 351-367. doi: 10.22081/ttais.2024.69975.1036
- [46] Farghal, M. & Haider, A. (2024). Translating classical Arabic verse: Human translation vs. Al large language models (Gemini and ChatGPT). Cogent Social Sciences, 10(1), 2410998.
- [47] Glukhova, N., & Glukhov, V. (2008). Expressions of impossibility and inevitability in Mari charms. In Charms, Charmers and Charming: International Research on Verbal Magic. pp. 108-118. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- [48] Haider, A. S., & Alkhatib, R. (2024). Subtitling English legal acronyms into Arabic: Human vs machine. Kutafin Law Review, 11(4), 810.
- [49] Hakami, A. & Abomoati, G. (2024). Exploring the impact of prompt formulation in Al chatbots on the translation of English-to-Arabic and Arabic-to-English idioms: A case study. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences, 22*(2): 21371-21381. https://doi.org/10.57239/PJLSS-2024-22.2.001508
- [50] Hamdi, S., Hashem, R., Holbah, W., Azi, Y. & Mohammed, S. (2023). Proverbs translation for intercultural interaction: A comparative study between Arabic and English using artificial intelligence. *World*, *13*(7).
- [51] Hamoud, M. (2024). The translation of English food idioms into Arabic through ChatGPT: Problems and solutions. *Madad Al-Adab*, (Special issue for the Translation Department Conference), 14, 55-76.
- [52] Himdi, H. (2024). Arabic idioms detection by utilizing deep learning and transformer-based models. *Procedia Computer Science*, 244, 37-48.
- [53] Ibrahim, S. N. (2025). On assessing the accuracy of Arabic-English translation by machine and human. In *Role of Al in Translation and Interpretation* (pp. 119-146). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [54] Ichien, N., Stamenković, D., & Holyoak, K. (2024). *Interpretation of novel literary metaphors by humans and GPT-4*. In L. K. Samuelson, S. Frank, M. Toneva, A. Mackey, & E. Hazeltine (Eds.), 46th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society. Cognitive Science Society.
- [55] Jibreel, I. (2023). Online machine translation efficiency in translating fixed expressions between English and Arabic (Proverbs as a Case-in-Point). *Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 13*(5), 1148-1158.
- [56] Khuzina, E. & Khairullina, D. (2017). The expression of modality of possibility and impossibility of infinitive constructions. *Revista Publicando*, 4(13), 840-848.
- [57] Levy, S. (1971). Logical impossibility. Philosophy and Phenomenological Research, 32(2), 166-187.
- [58] Manapbayeva, Z., Zaurbekova, G., Ayazbekova, K., Kazezova, A. & Pirmanova, K. (2024). Al in literary translation: ChatGPT-4 vs. professional human translation of Abai's Poem 'Spring', *Procedia Computer Science, 251*, 526-531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.11.143.
- [59] Moneus, A. & Sahari, Y. (2024). Artificial intelligence and human translation: A contrastive study based on legal texts. Heliyon, 10(6).
- [60] Muftah, M. (2024). Machine vs human translation: a new reality or a threat to professional Arabic–English translators. *PSU Research Review*, 8(2), 484-497.
- [61] Musaad, M., & Al Towity, A. (2023). Translation evaluation of three machine translation systems, with special references to idiomatic expressions. *Journal of Educational Sciences and Humanities*, (29), 678-708.
- [62] Obeidat, M., Haider, A. S., Tair, S., & Sahari, Y. (2024). Analyzing the performance of Gemini, ChatGPT, and Google Translate in rendering English idioms into Arabic. *FWU Journal of Social Sciences*, *18*(4).
- [63] Sadiq, S. (2025). Evaluating English-Arabic translation: Human translators vs. Google Translate and ChatGPT. *Journal of Languages and Translation*, 12(1), 67-95.
- [64] Yacine, A. (2024). Exploring the comparative efficacy of human translators and artificial intelligence in translation processes Arabic-English English-Arabic. Doctoral dissertation. Cadi Ayyad University.
- [65] Zajdel, A. (2022). Catching the meaning of words: Can Google Translate convey metaphor? In *Using Technologies for Creative-Text Translation* (pp. 116-138). Routledge.
- [66] Zayed, M., & Nuirat, W. (2024). Human touch in Al translation: Strategies employed by professional translators in post-editing Arabic-English Al generated translations of media texts.
- [67] Zibin, A., Binhaidara, N., Al-Shahwan, H., & Yousef, H. (2025). Metaphor interpretation in Jordanian Arabic, Emirati Arabic and Classical Arabic: artificial intelligence vs. humans. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1), 1-12.