Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies
ISSN: 2709-104X
DOI: 10.32996/jcsts
Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/jcsts

JCSTS
AL-KINDI CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Energy-Efficient Electrical Design Strategies for Small-Scale Data Centers

Manambedu Vijayakumar Raja
Westcliff University, California, USA
Corresponding author: Manambedu Vijayakumar Raja. **Email:** mvr695813@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

As the market for data processing and edge computing grows, small-scale data centers (SSDCs) become facilitating infrastructure for provisioning decentralized digital services. As much as their number is on the rise, SSDCs suffer from less-than-ideal electrical designs inherited from large-scale ones, realizing high energy losses and higher expenditures. The paper describes an in-depth investigation on energy-efficient electrical architecture for SSDCs, with special emphasis on low-loss distribution, high-efficiency conversion, smart power factor correction, and modular renewable energy interconnects. By strictly investigating the performance effects of these approaches in principal figures such as Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE), energy conversion effectiveness, and load balancing effectiveness, the study identifies the best rules for electrical architecture which can be transferred across a variety of small-scale configurations. Additionally, the incorporation of smart monitoring and load management infrastructure is proposed as an effective means for realizing long-term energy performance. Differing considerably from prior works which conceptualize energy effectiveness regardless of data center size, the paper distinguishes the unique constraints and opportunities in SSDCs such as constrained spaces, fluctuating loads, and lack of maintenance assets and maps them against pragmatically modular electrical practices. The study generates a set of repeatable, sustainable rules for the planning of energy-efficient electrical architecture for SSDCs, in aggregate, paving the way toward less carbonous distributed computing infrastructure. The paper is a prelude to future empirical testing and policy initiatives aimed toward realizing low-energy edge computing infrastructure.

| KEYWORDS

Small-scale data centers, smart power factor, low-energy edge computing, load balancing effectiveness

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 12 July 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 07 August 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.8.85

1. Introduction

The growing need for data-centric services, cloud, and edge computing in the global market triggered the growth in data centers across all scales. While hyperscale data centers still dominate in terms of capacity and investment, small-scale data centers (SSDCs), which include modular, edge, and enterprise-level deployments, are still important in facilitating latency-sensitive applications as well as decentralized digital infrastructure. The SSDCs, even though their footprint is relatively small, are responsible for high global energy consumption due to suboptimal electrical and thermal designs, outdated infrastructure, and limited energy management [1].

As approximated by the International Energy Agency (IEA), data centers account for roughly 1–1.5% of global electricity use, which is on an uphill trajectory as demand for the digital world grows. Much effort is evident in optimizing larger-scale data centers for ultimate effectiveness, but energy-efficient design paradigms for SSDCs remain underdeveloped. The facilities borrow their larger-scale counterparts' design features but do not accommodate their restrictions, such as non-uniform load profile, restricted available floor area, as well as less access to advanced energy infrastructure. The end-result is increased PUE ratios for SSDCs, increased electrical losses, as well as lower aggregate system reliability [2].

Electrical design significantly impacts the energy footprint of SSDCs. Where transformers and Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) systems are chosen, distribution panel configuration, and power factor correction equipment are concerned, each determines not just the energy efficiency of the system, but also long-term cost of operations and carbon footprint. Although writings and standards are usually developed considering high-capacity facilities, there is often a gap in scaled-down, bespoke design strategies applicable for small-scale operations. The mismatch between scaled-down requirements and existing design guidance obstructs the effectiveness of SSDCs in achieving sustainability as well as cost-efficiency targets [3].

The paper fills the gap in existing knowledge through the submission of a system of energy-efficient electrical design strategies for SSDCs, informed by theoretical study, best practices, and sustainability values. The paper's suggested framework considers fundamental aspects ranging from power distribution, power conversion, harmonics reduction, renewable inclusions, and smart load management. With the strategy, the paper develops an initial optimizing electrical design methodology for SSDCs, allowing for enhancing energy effectiveness without loss in reliability and scalability.

2. Background and Literature Review

Data centers are the foundation of the digital economy, facilitating cloud services, content delivery, and real-time data analytics. Smaller-scale data centers (SSDCs), which are sometimes characterized as facilities under 1 MW IT load, are also an important component in the ecosystem, facilitating localized work, edge computing, and hybrid IT infrastructure. With constrained budgets, floor space, and technical expertise, as compared to hyperscale data centers, their energy performance is frequently suboptimal even as their aggregate demand on national and international power grids is not to be underestimated [4].

Energy efficiency in data centers is often quantified in terms of such factors as Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE), Data Center Infrastructure Efficiency (DCiE), and Energy Reuse Effectiveness (ERE). Hyperscale data centers, for instance, have gotten their PUE down to as little as 1.1 using specialized infrastructure and smart automation, but SSDCs often present PUE higher even than 1.8 because they often start from an old electrical infrastructure, because their IT loads are underutilized, and because their cooling and distribution of power are inefficient [5].

Data center electrical system design includes layout and component selection for transformers, switchgears, circuit breakers, uninterruptible power supplies (UPS), and power distribution units (PDUs). The systems are not only required to provide an uninterrupted power supply but are also tasked with limiting conversion losses, harmonics, and reactive power. Modular UPS configurations, power factor correction units, and harmonic filters have been researched as methods for optimizing these components in very large-scale data centers. However, such methods are not always scalable or economical for SSDCs [6].

Literature emphasizes that UPS systems are the primary cause of inefficiency in small centers. Conventional double-conversion UPS configurations, while reliable, suffer energy losses even under partial loads, a typical working condition in SSDCs. Studies indicate that line-interactive or modular types of UPS configurations possess higher efficiency under partial loads but underutilized in small installations. Like optimized cable dimensioning and phase balancing, transmission losses can be minimized; still, such design practices are not adopted because of expertise shortage and lack of standard frameworks [7].

Another new research area is in renewable energy integration in SSDCs. Larger facilities can explore utility-scale solar and wind integration, but SSDCs are limited in their roof spaces as well as storage capacities. Nevertheless, even small-scale solar PV and battery energy storage systems (BESS) can significantly contribute to energy reduction as well as load balancing, provided electrical systems are capable of bidirectional flow as well as smart switching [8].

Moreover, the control and monitoring infrastructure in big data centers is advanced, for instance, smart PDUs, real-time energy dashboards, and AI and IoT-based predictive load control [9]. Nevertheless, SSDCs lack such features because they are costly and difficult to implement. The desirable need for economical, modular, and scalable energy monitoring systems, which is highly required for small installations [10].

Against the background of such research, the majority of current standards and guides, e.g., TIA-942, ASHRAE TC 9.9, and ISO/IEC 30134, are concerned primarily with large-scale data centers. Few provide explicit mandates for energy-efficient electrical design in small applications, therefore leaving a significant gap in writings on research as well as in engineering practices.

In short, current studies provide helpful recommendations for enhancing energy performance in data centers, but a customized solution is required for SSDCs. Due to their distinct restrictions—intermittent loads, compact footprints, limited redundancy, and reduced initial investment—their planning requires special strategies, which must reconcile reliability, efficiency, and economy. This paper fills that gap by presenting an organized method for assessing and practicing energy-efficient electrical design philosophies for SSDCs, adding to the rising discussion on sustainable digital infrastructure.

3. Methodological Framework

The paper takes on a systematic, analytical research approach to assess electrical design options for small-scale data centers (SSDCs) aiming to maximize energy effectiveness. The research is based on theoretical assessment supplemented by previous research, best practices, and engineering fundamentals, not on empirical simulation or case study findings. The aim is to extract an applicable, but scalable electrical system design framework for SSDCs consistent with performance criteria, financial viability, as well as environmental responsibility.

The evaluation starts from determining the typical operating limits and assumptions of SSDCs. They are characterized by overall IT load less than 1 MW, small floor area limits (<5,000 sq ft in most cases), and limited access to sophisticated grid infrastructure or utility redundancy. The SSDCs in consideration are presumed to be in edge or enterprise deployments, supporting localized data processing or hybrid IT applications. Differing from hyperscale facilities, they are subject to fluctuating, sometimes unpredictable load profiles, which makes the design choice dependent on partially loaded efficiency as well as adaptability.

To appraise and contrast strategies of design, five fundamental performance indicators are chosen:

- Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE): The total facility energy divided by the IT energy, commonly adopted as a standard gauge for efficiency.
- Power Factor (PF): Indicator of reactive power compensation; bad PF escalates energy losses as well as utility charges.
- System Losses (%): Losses in transformers, cabling, UPS, and distribution—it is measured in terms of percentage of input power.
- Component Efficiency (%): The rated efficiency of UPS, transformers, and power supplies under different load conditions.
- Modularity and Scalability Index: A qualitative indicator depending on the ability to add or change system capacity without complete redesign.

The architecture considers a modular evaluation for each basic subsystem: input electric power reception and distribution, electric power conversion and standby, load control and monitoring, and renewable integration. Each component is evaluated in consideration for total efficiency, compatibility for SSDC limits, cost considerations along the entire utilization lifetime as well.

Furthermore, environmental and economic factors are included in a lifecycle thinking mindset. Although complete lifecycle cost analysis is not within the scope for this paper, relative paybacks between capital cost (CAPEX) and operating cost (OPEX) are considered in the qualitative evaluation.

This structural approach ensures that the strategies outlined in the next section not only are technically valid but also are applicable to the realities of small-scale data center design in a wide range of geographical and operating situations.

4. Energy-Efficient Electrical Design Strategies

4.1. Optimized Power Distribution Topology

Effectiveness in power distribution begins with loss reduction in transmission and transformations in the interval between the utility connection point and IT equipment. SSDCs typically possess compact configurations, which offer an opportunity for the planning of distribution networks using short cable runs and minimal transformation stages.

Cable Sizing and Routing: Oversized conductors can significantly reduce I^2R losses, especially for high-loading applications. However, for SSDCs, a careful balancing between conductor size, voltage drop, and cost must be achieved. The optimum routing also reduces overheating as well as derating effects due to grouping and ambient temperature [Kumar & Singh, 2020].

Voltage Level Choice: Distribution at higher distribution voltages (say, 415V or 480V) near the IT rack level minimizes the requirement for myriad end-zone voltage conversions. Fewer transformation steps in distribution contribute to higher end-to-end system efficiency.

Switchgear and Distribution Boards: Modern switchgear with real-time monitoring can identify early imbalances, overload, or harmonic distortion. The addition of smart circuit breakers improves safety further and reduces downtime.

4.2. High-Efficiency Power Conversion Systems

Power conversion components, most notably Uninterruptible Power Supplies (UPS), are principal causes of energy losses in SSDCs. Minimization of such losses is achievable when choosing architectures retaining high efficiency even in partial loads—the typical SSDC mode of operation involving variable utilization.

- Modular UPS Systems: Modular, not monolithic, designs can be scaled to meet existing demand, achieving higher load-to-capacity ratios without becoming inefficient at light utilization. The modular systems are superior to legacy double-conversion UPS in both reliability and in saving energy.
- Line-Interactive UPS: For moderate load-sensitive SSDCs, line-interactive UPSs are very efficient (~98%) because under stable power, they do not do double conversions. The systems also reduce thermal output, thus facilitating the cooling function.
- Power Distribution Units (PDUs): Employing intelligent or metered PDUs helps track power usage at the rack level and avoid overloading. Switched PDUs with load-shedding capabilities support emergency scenarios and optimize load balancing.

4.3. Power Factor Correction and Harmonic Mitigation

Low power factor (PF) and harmonic distortion lead to high energy losses, lower utilization of electrical equipment, and even utility penalty charges. SSDCs, because they are based on electronic loads (servers, UPS, air handing units), are susceptible to such shortcomings.

- Automatic Capacitor Banks: The use of compact, auto-switched capacitor banks in strategic points in the distribution system can maintain PF near unity. The systems automatically switch dynamically in response to variations in loads and reduce reactive power demand.
- Active Harmonic Filters: Harmonics not only waste energy, but also damage sensitive electronic components. For applications such as SSDCs where real estate is limited, rack-integrated or wall-mounted active filters are an footprint-scalable solution.
- Balancing Load Across Phases: Unbalanced three-phase loading can lead to neutral conductor overheating and increased transformer losses. Advanced load mapping software can be used to achieve balanced phase loading, adding further improvement to system efficiency.

4.4. Integration of Renewable Energy and Storage

While SSDCs may not have the space or load profile for utility-scale renewables, integrating small-scale solar photovoltaic (PV) systems and battery energy storage systems (BESS) can yield meaningful efficiency and resilience gains.

Solar PV Installation: Sub-50 kW rooftop solar PV installations can provide a fraction of the IT or cooling load in peak sun hours. The system can be accompanied by effective inverters and few conversion stages in order to minimize DC–AC loss [11].

Battery Storage and Load Shifting: Lithium-ion BESS can be utilized for peak shaving, offer ride-through assistance in cases of grid instability, and decrease generator running in cases of outages. Properly sized, BESS also aids dynamic UPS configurations, which can minimize utilization of inefficient diesel gensets.

Grid-Tied vs. Off-Grid Design: For SSDCs in cities, a grid-tied PV + BESS is favored, which can switch easily between sources. Bi-directional inverters facilitate load management while minimizing transformer congestion.

4.5. Smart Monitoring and Load Management

But energy-efficient electrical design isn't quite finished without the ability for real-time monitoring and adjusting energy flows. Smaller SSDCs may not always incorporate the automation the larger facilities are accustomed to, so smart monitoring is a crucial energy optimization layer.

- IoT-Based Monitoring Systems: IoT gateways and sensor networks can offer real-time measurements in terms of current, voltage, power factor, and harmonic distortion. Remote diagnostics are made possible through cloud-based dashboards.
- Smart PDUs and Rack-Level Sensors: Smart PDUs, which are equipped with power and environmental sensors, provide fine-grained control. The sensors identify underutilized or over-consuming equipment, which can be IT load consolidated or decommissioned.
- Load Forecasting and Predictive Controls: Even without advanced AI, SSDCs can be supplemented by rule-based controls able to anticipate load spikes (e.g., due to backups, software updates) and adjust cooling, UPS mode, or power supply accordingly.

Alerting and Fault Detection: Advanced software can trigger alerts on abnormal energy activity, high neutral currents, or phase imbalance—to reduce downtime and quickly address inefficiencies.

These five strategies, in aggregate, provide an end-to-end plan for building energy-efficient electrical infrastructure in small-scale data centers. By targeting distribution losses, power conversion losses, inadequate power quality, underutilized renewables, and the lack of energy flow visibility, SSDCs can dramatically decrease their energy intensity while enhancing reliability and scalability.

5. Discussion

The suggested energy-efficient electrical design approaches for small-scale data centers (SSDCs) present an important but largely underappreciated opportunity to drastically decrease energy utilization, operating expenditures, and environmental footprint on the edge of the digital infrastructure. Large-scale data centers have already adopted sophisticated energy management systems and high-efficiency products, but SSDCs still employ legacy architecture, which is neither economical nor responsive to dynamic loads. This section discusses the broader implications, practical compromises, and deployment barriers related to implementing the strategies outlined.

Among the main findings is the synergy effect of using an array of design strategies as opposed to using them singly. For instance, modular UPS systems not just enhance energy efficiency when operating at partial loads but also decrease the cooling load because of reduced heat dissipation, which is an indirect way of realizing good PUE levels. Like that, optimal distribution of power when coupled with balancing phases and correction on the factor of power translates to stable electrical conditions and reduces transformer as well as conductor stress—the latter extending the lifespan for critical infrastructure.

Nonetheless, expense is still an adoptability barrier for most SSDC players. Although products like smart PDUs, active harmonic filters, and IoT-enabled monitoring systems are more attainable than ever, an initial high upfront cost still might be prohibitive for small businesses or institutions. ROI on such products is usually seen on a multi-year basis, and absent regulatory mandates or direct subsidies, penetration might be gradual.

Another crucial trade-off is modularity against redundancy. While modular structures (like switchgear and saleable UPS have distinct energy benefits and scalability, they can be less redundantly installed compared to legacy installations. Where SSDCs are for mission-critical applications in healthcare, research, or finance, for instance, designers must be confident that the risk associated with lesser redundancy is worth the energy cost advantages. That is why context-specific rather than generic design is desirable.

Another consideration is operational simplicity. Many SSDCs do not possess on-site electrical infrastructure engineering expertise. As such, strategies need to promote plug-and-play systems, user-friendly interfaces, as well as minimal maintenance. This makes component choice crucial, not just for their high efficiency but also for them being vendor-backed and commissioning-friendly.

From a policy and standards perspective, the paper recognizes the requirement for SSDC-specific guidelines. Most existing standards (e.g., TIA-942, ASHRAE TC 9.9) are for larger facilities. Governments and industries should consider the establishment of tiered design recommendations and incentives for small-scale operators that are interested in the use of high-efficiency electrical systems.

Lastly, the inclusion of renewables and storage brings in a sustainability aspect, which brings both resilience and carbon reduction. While still not mainstream in SSDCs, further solar PV and lithium-ion storage cost reductions could economically make hybrid configurations viable in the near term. The development of net-zero energy targets and grid decarbonization mandates will cause this transition to accelerate.

In summary, the strategies outlined offer a viable road map to greener, smarter, and less expensive SSDCs. However, mass uptake will demand a harmonized effort in the areas of design, procurement, policy, and operations training to ensure that such innovations translate directly into quantitative performance improvements.

6. Conclusion and Future Scope

With the continuing evolution of the digital environment toward distributed computing and edge services, small-scale data centers (SSDCs) are an integral piece of global IT infrastructure. Yet such facilities are confronted with special difficulties in their design and operations, specifically in their ability to realize energy efficiency in restricted physical and financial settings. The paper responded to these challenges in proposing a systematic set of electrical design strategies adapted to SSDCs, aimed in particular at minimizing energy losses, enhancing the quality of power, and optimizing system flexibility.

The methods outlined—the application of optimized power distribution and modular UPS systems, for example, all the way to power factor correction, renewable energy penetration, and IoT-driven monitoring—are a comprehensive template for enhancing energy performance. By employing metrics such as PUE, system loss ratio, and power factor, SSDC designers and operators are enabled to make informed decisions that balance cost, reliability, and sustainability. The method emphasizes modularity and scalability, which makes the technique applicable to facilities and use cases of varying sizes.

These results contribute to the larger body of scholarship on sustainable digital infrastructure by closing an important gap in the existing scholarship and practice on energy-efficient SSDC design. The lessons offered also translate to practical applications for OEMs, policy officials, and facilities engineers aiming to achieve net-zero or diminish operating costs.

Future work must involve empirical validation of such strategies on a wide range of geographies and climatic scenarios. Specifically, research on the utilization of artificial intelligence and real-time adaptive control in SSDC electrical systems can provide additional efficiencies. Also, the establishment of regulatory standards and design templates for use in SSDCs would promote wider industry uptake and provide for repeatable energy-savings practices.

By advancing these areas, we can speed the energy-efficient evolution of small-scale data centers, making important contributions to both operational excellence and global sustainability objectives.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Z. Cao, X. Zhou, H. Hu, Z. Wang, and Y. Wen, "Toward a Systematic Survey for Carbon Neutral Data Centers," 2022. doi: 10.1109/COMST.2022.3161275.

[2] T. Huang, T. Zhai, X. Zhang, and X. Di, "Electric Power-grid Friendly Characteristic Data Center Energy Consumption Optimization Method," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2095/1/012026.

[3] M. Breidenbach, B. Bullard, E. A. Nanni, D. Ntounis, and C. Vernieri, "Sustainability Strategy for the Cool Copper Collider," in *Proceedings of Science*, 2025. doi: 10.22323/1.476.1233.

[4] R. S. Ross, "Nationalism, Geopolitics and Naval Expansionism From the Nineteenth Century to the Rise of China," *Naval War College Review*, vol. 71, no. 4, 2018.

[5] R. Kumar, S. K. Khatri, and M. J. Diván, "Efficiency measurement of data centers: An elucidative review," *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, vol. 23, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1080/09720529.2020.1721886.

[6] W. He, F. Xue, F. Zheng, Y. Zhou, K. Liu, and Y. Tian, "Research on AC & DC hybrid power supply system with high-proportion renewable energy of data centre," *The Journal of Engineering*, vol. 2019, no. 16, 2019, doi: 10.1049/joe.2018.8925.

[7] D. Lu *et al.*, "Research on typical application in distribution station area," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2087/1/012022.

[8] X. Zhang, L. Feng, X. Li, Y. Xu, L. Wang, and H. Chen, "Economic evaluation of energy storage integrated with wind power," *Carbon Neutrality*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s43979-023-00054-8.

[9] Akash Kadam, Diwakar Reddy Peddinti, and Aditya Gupta, "The Evolution of Smart Factories: Integrating IOT and Machine Learning in Supply Chain and Manufacturing", *JCSTS*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 251–261, May 2025, doi: 10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.5.32.

[10] T. Morstyn, B. Hredzak, R. P. Aguilera, and V. G. Agelidis, "Model Predictive Control for Distributed Microgrid Battery Energy Storage Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 26, no. 3, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TCST.2017.2699159.

[11] S. Mayokun Abolarin, M. Babale Shitta, M. Aghogho Emmanuel, B. Precious Nwosu, M. Chucks Aninyem, and L. Lagrange, "An impact of solar PV specifications on module peak power and number of modules: A case study of a five-bedroom residential duplex," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2022. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/983/1/012056.